PESTICIDE STATE/TRIBAL COOPERATIVE AGREEMENT APPLICATION REVIEW CHECKLIST

To be completed by applicant and regional reviewer

	or Tribe and Lead Agency:et Period:				
A.	Budget				
1.	Is there a proposed budget for the following areas if the applicant requests funds in these areas? Does the proposed budget follow the cost categories and include itemized statements per grant guidance?				
	certification (minimum 50% match)	YES	NO		
	enforcement (minimum 15% match)	YES	NO		
	other specific program areas (minimum 15% match)	YES	NO		
	additional program activities	YES	NO		
	pesticide management program maintenance	YES	NO		
	Quality Management Plan review (as appropriate)	YES	NO		
	Comments:				
2. Are the costs reasonable in relation to the projected outputs or outcomes for th areas?				ing	
	certification	YES	NO		
	enforcement	YES	NO		
	other specific program areas	YES	NO		
	additional program activities	YES	NO		
	pesticide management program maintenance	YES	NO		
	Comments:				
В.	Narrative Statement				
1.	Is there a discussion of performance to date regarding ar requests funding? Comments:	reas for which YES	the applicant NO		

Appendix 1

2.	Has the applicant certified that there are no impediments to carry out the proposed program including that there exists:			
	Authority to conduct the proposed program? Authority to accept Federal funds? Designation as the Lead Agency?	YES YES YES	NO NO NO	
	Comments:			
3.	Are expected benefits to both the Applicant and EPA iden	tified? YES	NO	
C.	General Work Plan Components			
1.	Has the applicant addressed whether they have or how the of attainment as described in the attached guidance:	y will reach the	e minimum level	
	certification enforcement worker protection ground water endangered species	YES YES YES YES YES	NO NO NO NO	
	Accommodate new programs that have not yet reached micromments:	inimum level o YES	f attainment NO	
2.	Has the applicant provided the information contained in the requests for funding for additional program activities? Comments:	ne guidance to s YES	support NO	
3.	Has the applicant provided a schedule for all work plan activities related to:			
	the specific program areas? meeting QMP requirements? additional program activities?	YES YES YES	NO NO NO	
	Comments:			
4.	Is there an evaluation plan which includes a schedule for a of-year cooperative agreement evaluations?	nid-year (if rec	uired) and end- NO	

	Comments:			
5.	For new applicants, is there	a description of the ac	counting and fi YES	iling system? NO
	Comments:		ILS	NO
D.	Enforcement			
1.	Identification of Workyears	and Funding		
		Federal	State	Total
	Workyears* Inspectional Administrative Clerical Analytical Other Total *Include only those workyea agreement and funded with the	• • •	-	the cooperative
	Are work years to be funded clerical, analytical, other) an Comments:		activity (inspec YES	ctional, administrative NO
2.	Is a minimum of 50 percent	of the total work years	s directed to ins YES	spectional activities?
	Comments:			
3.	Are the budgeted inspectional/analytical work years reasonable in relation to the projected outputs? Complete output Time Factors Computation Work Sheet attached.			
	Comments:		YES	NO

Has the need for a pesticide enforcement program, of at least one half of a work year,

4.

Appendix 1

	been ad Comme	equately addressed? ents:	YES	NO
5.	Has the	nonitoring		
	(a)	Helping to ensure compliance with pesticide cance major regulatory actions. Inspections and other cor for this priority area will address: Comments		
	(b)	Worker protection enforcement: Comments	YES	NO
	(c)	Planning enforcement activities for residue remova Comments	al regulations	YES NO
	(d)	Enforcement activities for groundwater protection Comments	YES	NO
	(e)	Enforcement activities for endangered species Comments	YES	NO
	(f)	Section 6(g) information submittal and pesticide re Comments	ecalls YES	NO
	(g)	Exports Comments	YES	NO
	(h)	Enforcement activities for new C&T regulations Comments	YES	NO
<u>Priorit</u>	y setting			
6.	Has the	applicant submitted/referenced a priority-setting pla	an which addre	esses the

6. Has the applicant submitted/referenced a priority-setting plan which addresses the national enforcement priorities and State/Tribal priority problem areas?

YES NO

Comments:

Inspections

7. Has the applicant submitted a completed 5700-33H form for projecting inspection

		nitments? ments:	YES		NO		
8.	each	he applicant agreed to conduct targeted inspections, type of inspection? ments:	addressii YES	ng every	eleme NO	ent of	
9.	Does the applicant commit to follow up on the National Co Strategies? Comments:		Complian YES	ce Moni	toring NO		
10.	when	the applicant commit to following EPA's updated Paconducting inspections? ments:	esticides YES	Inspecti	on Ma NO	nual	
Ε.	Qual	ity Assurance					
1.	Does	the applicant have an EPA approved Quality Manag	gement P	lan (QM	IP)? NO		
2.	Are th	Are the following quality assurance practices addressed in the application/QMP:					
	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e) (f) (g) (h) (i)	Submittal of Updated Quality Assurance Plan; Use of standard analytical methods; Cross-contamination screening program; Performance evaluation sample program; Back up Analysis Procedure; Training of Analytical Chemists; Laboratory Reviews; Provisions of Analysis Results; Submission/Retention of Reports; ments:	YES YES YES YES YES YES	YES	NO NO NO NO NO NO	NO	
F.	Enfo	rcement Capability					
1.	penal	he State considered using enforcement grant funds to the ty authority? ments:	oward the YES	e develo	pment NO	of civil	

For programs with partial or no enforcement capability, has the applicant submitted

2.

Appendix 1

	procedu	ares for forwarding inspection reports with suspected	d violations to YES	EPA? NO		
	Comme	ents:	120	110		
3.	for the	ne application provide for an annual written agreement selection, referral, and tracking of significant pesticities 26 and 27?				
4.	respons	the application provide as an attachment or reference the policy (ERP) which the applicant is following? (Interpretable the provided is unnecessary.)	-			
	Does th	the State agree (in the application) to follow the ERP ents:	? YES	NO		
5.		any reference to procedures for resolving cross juri and Tribes? (This is recommended, not required.) ents:	sdictional issue YES	es between NO		
6.	Tracking/Management System:					
	(a)	Does the applicant have a management system for violations, and enforcement actions, and rapid ider case?	_	-		
	(b) Is there a tracking system for inspections and enforcement actions in follow up to "major pesticide regulatory actions?" (Could be part of aforementioned system as long as actions taken in follow up to strategies can be identified.) YES NO					
	Comments:					
	(c)	For new applicants, is there a description of the sys	stem? YES	NO		
	Comments:					
	(d)	Does the work program address maintenance of tra associated files and length of time maintained?				
	Comme	ents:	YES	NO		

G.	Repor	ting

1.	Does the application provide for the timely submittal	of quarterly	Accomplishment
	Reports (EPA Form 5700-33H)?	YES	NO
	Comments:		

Comments:

3. Is there an evaluation plan which includes a schedule for timely completion of mid-year (as required) and end-of-year evaluations?

YES NO

Comments:

- 4. Does the application address any unresolved problem(s) identified in the most recent mid-year and end-of-year evaluations? Does the plan for addressing the problem(s) include a schedule for implementation?

 YES

 NO
 Comments:
- 5. Does the application address submittal of the annual reporting requirement related to certification accomplishments for the period October 1 to September 30?

 YES

 NO

Comments: